1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to: People of the State of California, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc., et al.

Case No. 22-md-03047-YGR (PHK)

ORDER RE DISPUTE CONCERNING NEW YORK EXECUTIVE AGENCIES' PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Re: Dkt. 2187

In light of the 9th Circuit's Memorandum Order on the Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, dated August 22, 2025, and particularly in light of footnote five of that Memorandum Order, which remands to this Court consideration of issues implicated in the pending joint letter brief regarding a dispute as to certain New York agencies' production of documents [Dkt. 2187], the Parties to that joint letter brief are **ORDERED** to file a supplemental joint letter brief addressing their respective views as to the impact of the Ninth Circuit's Memorandum Order on the pending dispute (in particular, on the issues implicated by footnote five). Each side shall submit no more than two pages for their portion of the supplemental joint letter brief, and the cover page shall not count against either side's page limits.

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

Further, in a separate one-page joint addendum to be attached to the supplemental joint letter brief, the Parties shall report as to the status of the following: (1) any negotiated resolution or narrowing of any of the disputed issues raised in the pending joint letter brief; (2) any further contemplated appellate review; (3) whether Meta has served subpoenas on any of the New York agencies at issue and, if so, the status of any such subpoena (including any motions practice

related thereto); and (4) whether the Parties believe the disputes at issue can be resolved without the need for oral argument.

Further, the Court notes that, while the pending joint letter brief sets forth the positions on behalf of all of the New York agencies at issue, the signature block of the law firm, Selendy Gay, references only the Office of the Governor of the State of New York. Selendy Gay is **ORDERED** to file confirmation that it has entered appearance on behalf of (and is counsel of record for) all of the New York agencies at issue in the pending joint letter brief. If the law firm of Selendy Gay is not counsel of record for all of the New York agencies at issue for purposes of this matter, then Selendy Gay is **ORDERED** to immediately identify to the Court who that other counsel is and to communicate to such counsel this Court's **ORDER** that they enter appearance immediately. For clarity of the record, counsel for all state agencies at issue are encouraged and directed to identify themselves and enter appearances, to the extent they have not already done so. *See* Dkt. 2 at ¶ 5(b). Judge Gonzalez Rogers' Order, dated October 11, 2022, removes all procedural barriers to entry of appearance for counsel for any state agencies, and this Court has never prevented or created any barriers for any counsel for any state agencies to enter appearances in this MDL.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 28, 2025

United States Magistrate Judge